	Case3:11-cv-02369-SI Document180	Filed07/25/14 Page1 of 8
1 2 3 4 5 6 7		DISTRICT COURT
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	CITY OF WESTLAND POLICE AND FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTEM Derivatively on) No. 3:11-cv-02369-SI
10	RETIREMENT SYSTEM, Derivatively on Behalf of WELLS FARGO & COMPANY,	(Consolidated)
11	Plaintiff,	,) [PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING) DERIVATIVE SETTLEMENT AND ORDER
12	vs.	OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
13	JOHN G. STUMPF, et al.,	
14	Defendants,	
15	– and –	
16	WELLS FARGO & COMPANY, a Delaware corporation,))
17	Nominal Defendant.	
18	,	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	955903_1	

955903_1

This matter came before the Court for hearing pursuant to the Order of this Court, dated May 9, 2014 ("Order"), on Federal Plaintiff's motion for approval of the settlement ("Settlement") set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement, dated April 21, 2014 (the "Stipulation"). Due and adequate notice having been given of the Settlement as required in said Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefor, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

- 1. This District Court Approval Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation, and all capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation (in addition to those capitalized terms defined herein).
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Federal Action, including all matters necessary to effectuate the Settlement, and over all parties to the Federal Action, including but not limited to the Federal Plaintiff, Wells Fargo & Company ("Wells Fargo"), the current Wells Fargo stockholders, and the Settling Defendants.
- 3. The Court finds that the notice provided to Wells Fargo stockholders was the best notice practicable under the circumstances of these proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation, to all Persons entitled to such notice. The notice fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1 and the requirements of due process.
- 4. The Federal Action and all claims contained therein, as well as all of the Released Claims, are dismissed with prejudice. As among Federal Plaintiff, the Settling Defendants and Wells Fargo, the parties are to bear their own costs, except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation.
- 5. The Court finds that the terms of the Stipulation and Settlement are fair, reasonable and adequate as to each of the Settling Parties, and hereby finally approves the Stipulation and Settlement in all respects, and orders the Settling Parties to perform its terms to the extent the Settling Parties have not already done so.
- 6. Upon the Effective Date, Federal Plaintiff (on behalf of itself and derivatively on behalf of Wells Fargo), Wells Fargo, and each of Wells Fargo's stockholders (solely in their capacity

1

10

13

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

10.

25 26

27

28

955903_1

Settlement: (a) is or may be deemed to be or may be offered, attempt to be offered or used in any

way as a concession, admission, or evidence of the validity of any Released Claims or any fault,

wrongdoing or liability of the Released Persons or Wells Fargo; or (b) is or may be deemed to be or

as Wells Fargo stockholders) shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this District Court Approval Order and the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged all Released Claims (including Unknown Claims) against each and all of the Released Persons. Nothing herein shall in any way impair or restrict the rights of any Settling Party to enforce the terms of the Stipulation.

- 7. Upon the Effective Date, Federal Plaintiff (on behalf of itself and derivatively on behalf of Wells Fargo, Wells Fargo, any Person acting on behalf of Wells Fargo, and all Wells Fargo stockholders (solely in their capacity as Wells Fargo stockholders) shall be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting or prosecuting any of the Released Claims against any of the Released Persons or any action or other proceeding against any of the Released Persons arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the Released Claims, the Federal Action and/or State Action or the defense, settlement, or resolution of the Federal Action and/or State Action. Nothing herein shall in any way impair or restrict the rights of any Settling Party to enforce the terms of the Stipulation.
- 8. Upon the Effective Date, each of the Released Persons shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this District Court Approval Order and the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged each and all of Wells Fargo, Federal Plaintiff and Plaintiffs' Counsel from and against all claims of every nature and description whatsoever (including Unknown Claims) arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the filing, prosecution, assertion, settlement or resolution of the Federal Action, the State Action and/or the Released Claims. Nothing herein shall in any way impair or restrict the rights of any Settling Party to enforce the terms of the Stipulation.
- 9. The Court hereby approves the Fee and Expense Award in accordance with the Stipulation and finds that such fee is fair and reasonable.

any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the

Neither the Stipulation nor the Settlement, including the Exhibits attached thereto, nor

Case3:11-cv-02369-SI Document180 Filed07/25/14 Page4 of 8

may be used as a presumption, admission, or evidence of any liability, fault or omission of any of the 2 Released Persons or Wells Fargo in any civil, criminal or administrative or other proceeding in any court, administrative agency, tribunal or other forum. Neither the Stipulation nor the Settlement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the 5 Settlement, shall be admissible in any proceeding for any purpose, except to enforce the terms of the 6 Settlement and Stipulation, and except that the Released Persons may file or use the Stipulation, the District Court Approval Order and/or the Judgment in any action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, 8 full faith and credit, release, standing, judgment bar or reduction or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

- 11. During the course of the Federal Action, the parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, any applicable California law and all other similar laws.
- 12. Without affecting the finality of this District Court Approval Order and the Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over the Federal Action and the parties to the Stipulation to enter any further orders as may be necessary to effectuate, implement and enforce the Stipulation and the Settlement provided for therein and the provisions of this District Court Approval Order.
- 13. This District Court Approval Order and the Judgment is a final and appealable resolution in the Federal Action as to all claims and the Court directs immediate entry of the Judgment forthwith by the Clerk in accordance with Rule 58, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, dismissing the Federal Action with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

24

1

3

4

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 26

27

28

7/25/14 DATED:

THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON UNITED STATES SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

1	Submitted by:
2	
3	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP
4	SHAWN A. WILLIAMS AELISH M. BAIG
5	EKATERINI M. POLYCHRONOPOULOS
6	s/ Shawn A. Williams
7	SHAWN A. WILLIAMS
8	Post Montgomery Center One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800
9	San Francisco, CA 94104
0	Telephone: 415/288-4545 415/288-4534 (fax)
	+13/200-+33+ (lax)
1	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP
2	TRAVIS E. DOWNS III
3	ELLEN GUSIKOFF STEWART RACHEL L. JENSEN
	CHRISTOPHER D. STEWART
4	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101-3301
5	Telephone: 619/231-1058
6	619/231-7423 (fax)
7	BARRETT JOHNSTON, LLC GEORGE E. BARRETT
	DOUGLAS S. JOHNSTON, JR.
8	TIMOTHY L. MILES 217 Second Avenue, North
9	Nashville, TN 37201-1601
20	Telephone: 615/244-2202 615/252-3798 (fax)
21	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiff
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING DERIVATIVE SETTLEMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE - 3:11-cv-02369-SI

955903_1

Case3:11-cv-02369-SI Document180 Filed07/25/14 Page6 of 8

955513_1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 18, 2014, I authorized the electronic filing of the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I caused to be mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the attached Manual Notice List.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on July 18, 2014.

s/ Shawn A. Williams
SHAWN A. WILLIAMS

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN

& DOWD LLP

Post Montgomery Center One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800

San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415/288-4545

415/288-4534 (fax)

E-mail: shawnw@rgrdlaw.com

Mailing Information for a Case 3:11-cv-02369-SI The City of Westland v. Stumpf et al

Electronic Mail Notice List

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

• Aelish Marie Baig

AelishB@rgrdlaw.com,e file sf@rgrdlaw.com

• George E. Barrett

gbarrett@barrettjohnston.com

• Stephen R. Basser

sbasser@barrack.com,lnapoleon@barrack.com,cfessia@barrack.com

• Travis E. Downs, III

travisd@rgrdlaw.com,e_file_sd@rgrdlaw.com,e_file_sf@rgrdlaw.com

• Allen Brooks Gresham, II

bgresham@mcguirewoods.com,lrose@mcguirewoods.com,shicks@mcguirewoods.com

• Jill Crawley Griset

jgriset@mcguirewoods.com

• Rachel Lynn Jensen

rachelj@rgrdlaw.com,e file sd@rgrdlaw.com

• Douglas S. Johnston

djohnston@barrettjohnston.com

Jeremy T Kamras

jeremy.kamras@aporter.com,joanne.caruso@aporter.com,SFCalendar@aporter.com

• Alan M. Mansfield

alan@clgca.com,sally@clgca.com

• Timothy L. Miles

tmiles@barrettjohnston.com

• Eric Ian Niehaus

ericn@rgrdlaw.com

• Daniel Jacob Pfefferbaum

DPfefferbaum@rgrdlaw.com,khuang@rgrdlaw.com,e file sd@rgrdlaw.com,e file sf@rgrdlaw.com

• Ekaterini Maria Polychronopoulos

katerinap@rgrdlaw.com

• Jennifer Lynne Scafe

jennifer.l.scafe@wellsfargo.com

Gilbert Ross Serota

gilbert.serota@aporter.com,marie.zambrano@aporter.com,SFCalendar@aporter.com

• Christopher Dennis Stewart

CStewart@rgrdlaw.com,RachelJ@rgrdlaw.com

Case3:11-cv-02369-SI Document180 Filed07/25/14 Page8 of 8

- Samuel M. Ward sward@barrack.com,lxlamb@barrack.com
- Shawn A. Williams shawnw@rgrdlaw.com,e_file_sd@rgrdlaw.com,tcraig@rgrdlaw.com,lmix@rgrdlaw.com,e_file_sf@rgrdlaw.com
- Barbara Wright barbara.wright@wellsfargo.com

Manual Notice List

The following is the list of attorneys who are **not** on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients.

Joe Kendall
Provost & Umphrey Law Firm, LLP
3232 McKinney Avenue
Suite 700
Dallas, TX 75204

Joe McKey
Kendall Law Group, LLP
3232 McKinny Avenue, Sutie 700
Dallas, TX 75204

Barbara H. Wright
Wells Fargo & Co.
One Wells Fargo Center
32nd Floor
D-1053-300
Charlotte, NC 28202