

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| KIRK DAHL, et al., Individually and on Behalf) | Lead Case No. 1:07-cv-12388-WGY |
| of All Others Similarly Situated,) | |
| Plaintiffs,) | <u>CLASS ACTION</u> |
| vs.) | PROPOSED RULE 54(B) FINAL |
| BAIN CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC, et al.,) | JUDGMENT ORDER AS TO SILVER LAKE |
| Defendants.) | TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT, L.L.C. |
| _____) | |

On September 29, 2014, the Court entered the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of the Proposed Settlements with Defendants Bain Capital Partners, LLC (“Bain Capital”), The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. (“Goldman Sachs”), Silver Lake Technology Management, L.L.C. (“Silver Lake”), The Blackstone Group L.P. (“Blackstone”), Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P. (“KKR”), TPG Capital, L.P. (“TPG”), and TC Group III, L.P. and TC Group IV, L.P. (“Carlyle”).¹ Dkt. No. 1042 (“Preliminary Approval Order”). That Preliminary Approval Order specified the manner in which Epiq Class Action & Claims Solutions, Inc. (the “Claims Administrator”) was to provide Mail Notice and Summary Notice (collectively, the “Notice”) to the Settlement Class (defined below).

Within ten (10) days of the filing of the supplemental memorandum in support of the Preliminary Approval Motion (Dkt. No. 997), Silver Lake complied with the requirements of 28 U.S.C. §1715(b) by serving the appropriate documents and other information on the appropriate State and Federal officials.

Within thirty (30) days of the Court’s entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, Silver Lake complied with its obligation under the Settlement Agreement with Silver Lake Technology Management, L.L.C. (“Silver Lake Settlement Agreement”) to pay \$29,500,000 USD into the Silver Lake Settlement Fund (as defined in Paragraph 21 of the Silver Lake Settlement Agreement) and, thus, Silver Lake has no further financial or other obligations to the Settlement Class (as defined below) pursuant to the Silver Lake Settlement Agreement.

¹ Bain Capital, Goldman Sachs, Silver Lake, Blackstone, KKR, TPG, and Carlyle are collectively referred to as “Settling Defendants.” Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings given in the Settling Defendants’ Settlement Agreements (“Settlement Agreements” or “Settlements”) (Dkt. Nos. 986-1, 986-2, 997-1, 1018-1 and 1037-1) or the Preliminary Approval Order (Dkt No. 1042).

Following the dissemination of the Notice, Settlement Class Members were given an opportunity to participate in the Settlements, exclude themselves from the Settlements or object to the Settlements and/or to Settlement Class Counsel's request for fees and expenses.

A Fairness Hearing was held on February 11, 2015, at which time all interested persons were given a full opportunity to state any objections to the Settlement Agreements. The Fairness Hearing was held over 120 days after Silver Lake provided notice as required by 28 U.S.C. §1715(d) and 121 days after Settlement Class Members were provided Notice pursuant to Paragraph 6 of the Preliminary Approval Order.

Having read and fully considered the terms of the Silver Lake Settlement Agreement, and all submissions made in connection therewith, the Court finds that, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, there is no just reason for delay, and therefore expressly directs the entry of Final Judgment as to Silver Lake. The Silver Lake Settlement Agreement is finally approved and Silver Lake is dismissed with prejudice as to all Settlement Class Members who have not excluded themselves from the Settlement Class, and without prejudice as to all persons who timely and validly excluded themselves from the Settlement Class.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. For purposes of the Settlement, the Settlement Class is defined as:
 - All persons or entities who sold or exchanged their common stock of (1) AMC Entertainment Inc., (2) SunGard Data Systems Inc., (3) Aramark Corporation, (4) Kinder Morgan, Inc., (5) HCA Inc., (6) Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., (7) Harrah's Entertainment, Inc., or (8) TXU Corp., as part of the leveraged buyout ("LBO") of any of the preceding target companies (the "Transactions"). Excluded from the Settlement Class are the Court and any members of the Court's immediate family; the Defendants, including their predecessors, successors, and affiliates as well as their current and former directors, managers, partners, officers, and employees; and the directors and officers of each target company at the time of the LBO, provided that the foregoing exclusion shall not cover "Goldman Sachs Investment Vehicles," which for these purposes shall mean any investment company or pooled investment fund, including, but not limited to, mutual fund families,

exchange-traded funds, fund of funds and hedge funds, in which The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. has or may have a direct or indirect interest, or as to which its affiliates may act as an investment advisor, but are not managed by The Goldman Sachs Group Inc. Merchant Banking Division and of which Goldman Sachs or any of its respective affiliates is not a majority owner or does not hold a majority beneficial interest.

2. The Settlement Class is finally certified as a settlement class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, for purposes of the Settlements only, as follows:

(a) The Settlement Class, for which records should exist, is readily identifiable;

(b) Due to the nature of the trade and commerce involved there appear to be thousands of geographically dispersed members of the Settlement Class making the members of the Settlement Class, sufficiently numerous to satisfy the numerosity requirement;

(c) Named Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Settlement Class in that they arise from the same common course of conduct giving rise to the claims of the members of the Settlement Class;

(d) There are sufficient legal and factual issues common to the Settlement Class to meet the commonality requirement, including whether Settling Defendants conspired or combined for the purpose and with the effect of consummating the leveraged buyouts of certain companies; whether Settling Defendants' conduct violated the federal antitrust laws; and whether Settling Defendants' conduct caused injury to the business or property of Named Plaintiffs and the members of the Settlement Class; and

(e) Questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class predominate over any individualized questions.

3. The Court hereby approves the terms of the Silver Lake Settlement Agreement as fair, reasonable, and adequate as it applies to the Named Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class, and directs consummation of all its terms and provisions.

4. The Silver Lake Settlement Agreement shall be binding on Named Plaintiffs and all members of the Settlement Class who did not request exclusion from the Settlement Class in the time and manner set forth in the Notice (collectively, "Plaintiffs").

5. The Court hereby dismisses this Action on the merits and with prejudice as to Silver Lake, including all claims asserted in the Fifth Amended Class Action Complaint for Violations of the Federal Antitrust Laws as to Silver Lake. Neither Silver Lake nor any of the other persons or entities released under the Silver Lake Settlement Agreement shall be liable for any of the costs or expenses of the litigation of the Action, including, without limitation, attorneys' fees, fees and expenses of expert witnesses and consultants, and costs and expenses associated with discovery, motion practice, hearings before the Court or any Special Master, appeals, trials or the negotiation of other settlements, or for class administration and costs.

6. With regard to Silver Lake, upon entry of this Order, Settlement Class Members, and all of their respective past and present, direct and indirect parent entities, subsidiaries, and affiliates; predecessors, successors; and each and all of the present and former principals, partners, officers, directors, investors, supervisors, representatives, insurers, attorneys, heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns of each of the foregoing shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Final Judgment Order shall have, fully, finally, and forever settled, released, acquitted, relinquished, and discharged Silver Lake; the predecessors, successors, and assigns of Silver Lake, and each and all of Silver Lake's past, present, and future direct and indirect parent, subsidiaries, or affiliated entities, partnerships, general partners, limited partners (who are not Non-Settling Defendants), shareholders, investors (however denominated), investment funds that it or any of its affiliates managed or advised and such funds' respective affiliates, joint ventures and joint venturers (except co-sponsors with respect to the transactions identified in Paragraph 1), members, officers, directors, managers,

managing directors, employees, contractors, consultants, auditors, accountants, financial advisors, professional advisors, investment bankers, representatives, insurers, trustees, trustors, agents, attorneys, professionals, supervisors, partners, heirs, executors, administrators, assigns, or controlling persons from any and all legal, equitable, or other allegations, claims, complaints, counterclaims, charges, demands, setoffs, defenses, suits, actions and causes of action of any kind whatsoever (whether based on common law or on any federal or state statute, rule, regulation, or other law or right of action), whether direct or indirect, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, foreseen or not foreseen, or fixed or contingent, for any injury, damage or loss of any kind whatsoever, including, but not limited to, compensatory damages, consequential damages, incidental damages, special damages, statutory liquidated damages, exemplary damages, punitive damages, costs, expenses, interest, and attorneys' fees, which they ever had, now have or may in the future have, own, or claim existing as of the date of the Silver Lake Settlement Agreement that were asserted or could have been asserted by the Releasers in this Action, including but not limited to the Transactions, or any other claims arising out of, relating to, or in connection with, the defense, settlement, or resolution of the Action (the "Released Claims").

7. The Court hereby permanently bars, enjoins, and restrains Named Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Members from initiating, instituting, commencing, bringing, or prosecuting any action, suit, proceeding, claim, or cause of action in any jurisdiction or court against Silver Lake or any of the other entities or persons who are released and discharged as described above in Paragraph 6, that is based upon, relates to, or arises out of, any Released Claims or any of the matters which are discharged and released pursuant to the Silver Lake Settlement Agreement.

8. In the event Named Plaintiffs and/or the Settlement Class seek to recover damages or any other form of monetary relief from any person or entity based upon, arising from, relating to, or

in connection with the Released Claims, any verdict or judgment in such action in favor of Named Plaintiffs and/or the Settlement Class shall be reduced by the amount of the recovery obtained by the Named Plaintiffs and/or the Settlement Class from Silver Lake.

9. The Court hereby permanently bars, enjoins, and restrains any person or entity from commencing, prosecuting, or asserting (i) any claims for contribution or indemnity arising out of or related to the claims or allegations asserted in the Action, or (ii) any other claim of any type, whether arising under state, federal, common, or foreign law, for which the injury claimed is that person's or entity's actual or threatened liability to Named Plaintiffs and/or the Settlement Class, against Silver Lake or any of the other entities or persons released pursuant to the Silver Lake Settlement Agreement, whether as claims, cross-claims, counterclaims, third-party claims, or otherwise, and whether asserted in the Action or any other proceeding, in this Court, in any federal or state court, or in any other court, arbitration proceeding, administrative agency, or other forum in the United States or elsewhere.

10. If the Silver Lake Settlement Agreement becomes null and void pursuant to its terms, this Final Order and Judgment shall be deemed vacated and shall have no force or effect whatsoever.

11. Without affecting the finality of this Final Judgment Order in any way, the Court reserves continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over the parties, including all Settlement Class Members as defined above, and the execution, consummation, administration, and enforcement of the terms of the Silver Lake Settlement Agreement.

12. The Supplemental Plan of Allocation, annexed as Exhibit A to the Memorandum of Law in Support of Named Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Settlements and Supplemental Plan of Allocation of Settlement Proceeds, which was previously filed with the Court on November 13, 2014 (Dkt. No. 1050), is hereby approved.

13. The Court finds that, during the course of the Action, Named Plaintiffs, Settling Defendants, Settlement Class Counsel, and counsel for Settling Defendants at all times complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b), and the Court having found that there is no just reason for delay, the Clerk is directed to enter this Final Judgment Order forthwith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 2, 2015

William G. Young
THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. YOUNG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE